# ZERO-CROSSING PRECODING WITH MMSE CRITERION FOR CHANNELS WITH 1-BIT QUANTIZATION AND OVERSAMPLING

Diana M. V. Melo, Lukas T. N. Landau and Rodrigo C. de Lamare

Centre for Telecommunications Studies

Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil 22453-900 Email: diana;lukas.landau;delamare@cetuc.puc-rio.br

### ABSTRACT

Systems with coarse quantization have attracted a great interest due to the low complexity and low energy consumption requirements of new applications as IoT. In this study, we present a novel waveform for the bandlimited channel with 1-bit quantization and oversampling. The novel method implies that the information is conveyed within the time instances of zero-crossings, which is devised together with a space-time MMSE precoding. Numerical evaluations of the BER show that the proposed approach outperforms the existing method and that the MMSE criterion yields a benefit in comparison to the maximum distance to decision threshold criterion for low SNR.

*Index Terms*— Zero-crossing precoding, mean-square-error, oversampling, 1-bit quantization, faster-than-Nyquist signaling, MIMO systems.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Low resolution analog-to-digital converters (ADCs) are promising for new technologies as IoT, whose main applications incorporate intelligent transportation, smart grid, and industrial automation [1], [2], since the most important requirements include low energy consumption and low complexity devices. Thus, communication systems with 1-bit receivers have attracted attention during the recent years.

Established studies have reported that achieving resolution in amplitude is more challenging than resolution in time, e.g., [3]. Hence it is promising to consider a coarse quantization in amplitude in combination with oversampling in time, in order to compensate for the loss in achievable rate.

It is shown in [4] that the achievable rate for a Zakai bandlimited processes, improves considerably with oversampling. The process construction in [4] relies on conveying information within the time instances of zero-crossings. A significant benefit for oversampling in term of capacity per unit cost is shown in [5]. Moreover, in the study presented in [6], the achievable rate was investigated under a noisy channel with bandlimitation whose results showed a significant gain when using oversampling.

Besides the studies with hard bandlimitation, practical approaches for communications with 1-bit quantization and oversampling exist in literature. In [7] a waveform design is devised in terms of filter coefficients, which maximize the distance to the decision threshold (MMDDT) at the receiver, which is a well established criterion [8]–[10] for channels with hard decision. In [11] and [12] different transmission strategies based on ASK transmit symbols are considered which allow for unambiguous detection.

Other studies have adopted the approach presented in [7] and extended it for the MISO case [13] and a waveform design optimization of a massive multiuser multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) system [14], where the entire transmit sequences are optimized. The approach in [14] relies on a dynamic codebook involving an optimized based forward mapping strategy. More recently, the study in [15] devised a novel precoding approach for the multiuser MIMO downlink, which implies that the information is conveyed within the time instances of zero-crossing instead of a forward mapping strategy as suggested in [14]. The consideration of zero-crossing based precoding implies the advantage that the maximum total number of zero-crossings and the maximum number of zero-crossings per time interval are relatively small and determined, which is relevant in the context of bandlimitation cf. [4]. The studies in [14] and [15] rely on zero-forcing precoding in the spatial domain and the MMDDT design criterion for the temporal precoding, which is a promising criterion especially when operating in high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).

In the present study, we also propose a precoding method for the multiuser downlink channel with 1-bit quantization and oversampling using the novel zero-crossing modulation approach. Unlike the studies in [14] and [15] the precoding in the present study is jointly processed in space and time using the minimum mean square error (MMSE) design criterion, which is especially promising for the low-SNR regime in the context of 1-bit quantization. Numerical results confirm that the novel zero-crossing modulation based precoding is superior to the optimized forward mapping presented in [14] in terms of MSE and uncoded bit error rate. Moreover, numerical results confirm that the MMSE precoding design yields a lower BER as compared to the MMDDT for lower signal-to-noise ratio values.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: The system model is introduced in Section II. Then, Section III details the proposed zero-crossing modulation, the proposed space-time MMSE precoding and the detection process. The simulation results are provided in Section IV and finally, the conclusions are given in Section V.

Notation: In the paper all scalar values, vectors and matrices are represented by: a, x and X, respectively.

## **II. SYSTEM MODEL**

A multiuser MIMO downlink channel with  $N_u$  single antenna users is considered as presented in Fig. 1. The input associated to the *k*th user is given by a block symbol sequence  $x_k$  with *N* complex symbols and  $k = 1, ..., N_u$ . In the sequel each input sequence  $x_k$  will be mapped to an desired output pattern  $c_{out,k}$  for further processing. The considered base station (BS) is equipped with  $N_t$  transmit antennas. Besides the signaling rate  $\frac{M_{Tx}}{T}$  and the sampling rate  $\frac{M_{Rx}}{T} = \frac{MM_{Tx}}{T}$  the system is characterized by transmit filters and receive filters with impulse responses  $g_{Tx}(t)$ and  $g_{Rx}(t)$ , respectively. The combined waveform, determined by the transmit and receive filter, is given by the convolution of both filters  $v(t) = g_{Tx}(t) * g_{Rx}(t)$ .

The received signal sample block at the *k*th user  $z_k$  has a length of  $N_{\text{tot}} = M_{\text{Rx}}N + 1$  samples. Stacking the received samples of the  $N_{\text{u}}$  users yields the compact notation with vector z. That means



Fig. 1: Multiuser MIMO system model

that the received signal can be expressed by a column vector with length  $N_{\rm u}N_{\rm tot}$  described by

$$z = Q_1 (\mathbf{y})$$
  
=  $Q_1 ((\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{N_{\text{tot}}}) (\mathbf{I}_{N_{\text{t}}} \otimes \mathbf{V}\mathbf{U}) \mathbf{p}_x + (\mathbf{I}_{N_{\text{u}}} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{\text{Rx}}) \mathbf{n})$  (1)  
=  $Q_1 (\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} \mathbf{p}_x + \mathbf{G}_{\text{Rx,eff}} \mathbf{n}),$ 

where  $Q(\cdot)$  is the 1-bit quantizer, **H** is the channel matrix of size  $N_{\rm u} \times N_{\rm t}$ , which describes a frequency flat fading channel and **n** denotes the complex Gaussian noise vector with zero mean and variance  $\sigma_n^2$  with length  $3N_{\text{tot}}N_{\text{u}}$ . The vector  $\boldsymbol{p}_x$  with length  $(N_{\text{T}}N_{\text{q}})$ represents the space-time precoding vector, with  $N_q = M_{Tx}N + 1$ , which is computed based on the stacked desired output pattern  $c_{\text{out}}$ . The waveform impulse response matrix V with dimensions  $N_{\rm tot} \times N_{\rm tot}$  and the receive filter matrix  $G_{\rm Rx}$  are denoted as

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} v (0) & v \left(\frac{T}{M_{\text{Rx}}}\right) & \cdots & v (TN) \\ v \left(-\frac{T}{M_{\text{Rx}}}\right) & v (0) & \cdots & v \left(T \left(N - \frac{1}{M_{\text{Rx}}}\right)\right) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v (-TN) & v \left(T \left(-N + \frac{1}{M_{\text{Rx}}}\right)\right) & \cdots & v (0) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(2)

and

$$\boldsymbol{G}_{\mathrm{Rx}} = \boldsymbol{a}_{\mathrm{Rx}} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{g}_{\mathrm{Rx}}^{T} & \boldsymbol{0} \cdots & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{g}_{\mathrm{Rx}}^{T} & \boldsymbol{0} \cdots & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{0} \cdots & \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{g}_{\mathrm{Rx}}^{T} \end{bmatrix}_{N_{\mathrm{tot}} \times 3N_{\mathrm{tot}}}, \qquad (3)$$

with  $g_{Rx} = [g_{Rx}(-T(N + \frac{1}{M_{Rx}})), g_{Rx}(-T(N + \frac{1}{M_{Rx}}) + \frac{T}{M_{Rx}}), \dots, g_{Rx}(T(N + \frac{1}{M_{Rx}}))]^T$  and  $a_{Rx} = (T/M_{Rx})^{1/2}$ . The *M*-fold upsampling matrix *U* with dimensions  $N_{tot} \times N_q$  is

defined by

$$U_{m,n} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } m = M \cdot (n-1) + 1\\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$
(4)

The next section explains the zero-crossing precoding to obtain the space-time precoding vector  $p_x$ .

# III. PROPOSED ZERO-CROSSING PRECODING

In this section it is explained how to obtain the spacetime precoding vector  $p_x$ . Starting from the input sequence  $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, ..., x_k, ..., x_{N_u}]$  a binary sequence  $\mathbf{c}_{out} = [\mathbf{c}_{out_1}^T, ..., \mathbf{c}_{out_k}^T, ..., \mathbf{c}_{out_{N_u}}^T]^T$  is built, which is the desired output pattern at the receivers with oversampling factor  $M_{\rm Rx}$ . This means

that each symbol from the sequence x is represented at the receiver by  $M_{\rm Rx}$  samples. Each symbol of the sequence x is mapped in a code segment which conveys the information in the time instance of the zero-crossing [15].

In [4] it is shown that a Zakai bandlimited process can be constructed with one zero-crossing in each Nyquist interval. Different to the approach in [4] the zero-crossing method in this work also includes the absence of a zero-crossing within a symbol duration as a valid codeword, which is promising in the sense that the input cardinality is relatively increased without increasing the number of zero-crossings. This means that  $M_{Rx}$  out of  $M_{Rx} + 1$  possible transmit symbols imply a zero-crossing.

The process to extract the sequence  $c_{out}$  with length  $N_q N_u$  out of x is independent for each user k and also independent for the real and imaginary parts of  $x_i$ . Hence, for description of the process it is sufficient to consider only the real part  $\tilde{c}_{\text{out}_k} = \text{Re} \{c_{\text{out}_k}\}$  of the process. At the end  $c_{out}$  is obtained by stacking the modulated sequence for all the users.

## **III-A. Zero-Crossing Modulation**

In the considered system, the received signal is  $M_{Rx}$ -fold oversampled, which means that each symbol interval is associated to  $M_{\rm Rx}$  samples. The zero-crossing modulation relies on the principle that each transmit symbol is related to a zero-crossing in one of the  $M_{\rm Rx}$  sub intervals or the absence of a zero-crossing. With this,  $M_{\rm Rx}$  + 1 different symbols can be constructed based on the time instances of zero-crossings. Thereby the input cardinality is given by  $R_{in} = M_{Rx} + 1$  such that each symbol  $b_j$ , taken from the set  $X_{in} = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{R_{in}}\}$  is mapped to a zero-crossing time instance or the absence of zero-crossing, respectively. It is considered that  $c_{\rm out}$  is complex and that it consists of two independent zerocrossing patterns for the real and imaginary parts. With this, each symbol conveys  $\log_2(M_{Rx} + 1)$  bits, per real dimension.

To construct the desired binary output pattern  $\tilde{c}_{out_k}$ , which yields the zero-crossings in the desired intervals, each symbol  $x_i \in X_{in}$ , from the transmit sequence  $x_k = [x_{k_0}, \dots, x_{k_i}, \dots, x_{k_{N-1}}]$ , is mapped in a binary segment  $c_{s,i}$  of length  $M_{Rx}$  samples. Since the pattern of each segment depends on the zero-crossing time instance the codeword  $c_{s,i}$  depends also on the last sample of the previous sequence segment which we term  $\rho_{i-1} \in \{1, -1\}$ . Hence, each input symbol is associated with two possible codewords.

Finally, the pattern sequence  $\tilde{c}_{out_k} = [pb, c_{s,0}^T, \dots, c_{s,N-1}^T]^T$  with total length  $NM_{Rx} + 1$  for each user is obtained by concatenating the  $c_s$  segments of each symbol. Given the dependency of the construction of  $c_s$  on  $\rho_{i-1}$  of the previous code segment  $c_{s,i-1}$ , one pilot sample  $pb \in \{1, -1\}$  is included as the first sample of the sequence  $\tilde{c}_{out_k}$  to map and later detect the first transmit symbol.



Fig. 2: Mapping process for construction of  $c_{out}$  for ZC precoding and an example mapping for Q-Precoding [14],  $M_{Rx} = 3$ 

Fig. 2 illustrates an example for the construction of  $\tilde{c}_{out_k}$  with  $M_{\text{Tx}} = 3$  as well as an example of the optimization based forward mapping (FM) approach devised in [14].

Note that in Fig. 2 the novel zero-crossing method employed in this study involves less zero-crossings than the FM approach, which is relevant in the context of bandlimitation, cf. [4].

The next section devises the computation of the transmit sequence  $p_x$  based on the proposed space-time MMSE precoding design, using the desired output pattern  $c_{out}$ .

## **III-B.** Proposed Space-Time MMSE Precoding

In this section the optimal solution of  $p_x$  according to the MSE criterion is presented where a maximum total transmit energy  $E_0$ is considered.

In this work we follow a similar approach as presented in [16], where the authors take into account a scaling factor in the MMSE problem formulation. Aiming for the desired output pattern  $c_{out}$  at the receiver, the design of the space-time MMSE precoder can be cast as the following optimization problem

$$\min_{f, \boldsymbol{p}_{x}} \quad \mathbb{E}\{\|f(\boldsymbol{H}_{\text{eff}}\boldsymbol{p}_{x} + \boldsymbol{G}_{\text{Rx,eff}}\boldsymbol{n}) - \boldsymbol{c}_{\text{out}}\|_{2}^{2}\} \quad (5)$$
  
subject to:  $\boldsymbol{p}_{x}^{H}\boldsymbol{A}^{H}\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{p}_{x} \leq E_{0},$ 

with  $\mathbf{A} = \left( \mathbf{I}_{N_{t}} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{T_{x}}^{T} \mathbf{U} \right)$  and the Toeplitz matrix

$$\boldsymbol{G}_{\mathrm{Tx}} = \boldsymbol{a}_{\mathrm{Tx}} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{g}_{\mathrm{Tx}}^{T} & 0 \cdots & 0\\ 0 & [\boldsymbol{g}_{\mathrm{Tx}}^{T} & 0 \cdots & 0\\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots\\ 0 \cdots & 0 & [\boldsymbol{g}_{\mathrm{Tx}}^{T} & ] \end{bmatrix}_{N_{\mathrm{tot}} \times 3N_{\mathrm{tot}}}, \quad (6)$$

with  $\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{Tx}} = [g_{\mathrm{Tx}}(-T(N+\frac{1}{M_{\mathrm{Rx}}})), g_{\mathrm{Tx}}(-T(N+\frac{1}{M_{\mathrm{Rx}}})+\frac{T}{M_{\mathrm{Rx}}}), \dots, g_{\mathrm{Tx}}(T(N+\frac{1}{M_{\mathrm{Rx}}}))]^T$  and normalization factor  $a_{\mathrm{Tx}} = (T/M_{\mathrm{Rx}})^{1/2}$ . Although the objective function in (5) is not convex the problem can be solved in closed form, e.g., by exploiting the knowledge that the optimal precoding vector must fulfill the total energy constraint with equality [16]. Then the optimal solution of (5) is given by

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{x,opt}} = \frac{1}{f} \left( \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{H} \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{eff}} + \frac{\mathrm{trace}\{\boldsymbol{G}_{\mathrm{Rx}}^{H} \boldsymbol{C}_{n} \boldsymbol{G}_{\mathrm{Rx}}\}}{E_{0}} \boldsymbol{A}^{H} \boldsymbol{A} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{H} \boldsymbol{c}_{\mathrm{out}},$$
(7)

$$=\frac{1}{f}\boldsymbol{P}_{\rm SpT-MMSE} \boldsymbol{c}_{\rm out}$$
(8)

where the scaling factor is given by

$$f = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{c}_{\text{out}}^{H} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{c}_{\text{out}} / E_0}.$$
(9)

Its derivation as well as the description of  $\Gamma$  is detailed in the appendix section.

## **III-C.** Detection

Since the waveform design scheme is tailored to 1-bit receivers in order to meet the requirements of low complexity devices, the detection process should also be as straightforward as possible. Hence, it is aimed to develop a method that allows for a very low complexity symbol detection.

Given the received binary sequence z, an inverse process of the modulation is carried out and the corresponding backward mapping process is denoted by d. In this context, the vector z is divided into segments  $z_{b_i}$  of length  $M_{Rx} + 1$ , where its first sample corresponds to  $\hat{\rho}_{i-1}$ , that is, the last sample of the segment  $z_{b_{i-1}}$ . Given  $z_{b_i}$ and the time instant in which there is a presence of a zero-crossing,

the process  $\overleftarrow{d} : z_{b_i} \to X_{in}$  is carried out to detect  $x_i$ . In the noise-free environment it is possible to detect  $z_{b_i}$  directly

through the  $\overleftarrow{d}$  process since  $z_{b_i} \subseteq c_{map}$  where  $c_{map} = [\rho_{i-1}, c_s]$ and  $c_s$  is given in the modulation process. However, the presence of noise can alter z such that  $z_{b_i} \not\subseteq c_{map}$ . In this case, it is required to define additional decision rules and one promising approach is given by the Hamming-distance metric [14]. With this, the ith symbol is detected according to the following detection process

$$\widehat{x_i} = \overleftarrow{d}(c)$$
, where  $c = arg \min_{c_{\text{map}}} \text{Hamming}(z_{b_i}, c_{\text{map}})$ ,

where Hamming  $(z_b, c_{\text{map}}) = \sum_{n=1}^{M_{\text{Rx}}+1} \frac{1}{2} |z_{b,n} - c_{\text{map},n}|$ . For the detection of the first symbol in the sequence, the subsequence  $z_{b_0}$  is constructed taking into account the pilot signal pbwhich then enables the detection process. Just like the process to get  $c_{out}$ , the real and the imaginary parts are detected independently in separate processes.

#### **IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS**

This section presents the numerical evaluation of the proposed zero-crossing precoding and the FM mapping known from the literature [14] in terms of the BER and MSE (Mean square error). Under the constraint of a maximum energy constraint of  $E_0 = 1$ and with sequences of length N = 50 the simulations were carried out for different values of  $M_{Rx}$  and  $M_{Tx}$ . The receive pulse shaping filter is a square-root raised cosine (RRC) with roll-off factor  $\epsilon_{\text{Rx}} = 0.22$ . The Tx filter on the other hand is a raised-cosine (RC) pulse shaping filter with roll-off factor  $\epsilon_{Tx} = 0.22$ . The bandwidth



Fig. 3: MSE vs bandwidth for  $M_{Rx} = M_{Tx} = 2$ 

is determined with  $W_{Rx} = W_{Tx} = (1 + \epsilon_{Tx})/T_s$ . In Fig. (3) the MSE versus bandwidth  $W_{Tx}T$  is illustrated to different values of SNR. It can be seen that the MSE tends to decrease with increasing the bandwidth. Moreover, it is shown that for both approaches, the zero-crossing precoding and the FM mapping from [14] tend to a similar value of MSE when the  $W_{Tx}$  goes to infinity. However, when the bandwidth is restricted as in the considered case, the proposed zero-crossing precoding corresponds to a significantly lower MSE than the FM approach devised in [14]. The SNR is defined by

$$SNR = \frac{E_0 / (N_q T)}{N_0 (1 + \epsilon_{Rx}) \frac{1}{T}} = \frac{E_0}{N_q N_0 (1 + \epsilon_{Rx})},$$
(10)

where  $N_0$  denotes the complex noise power density. The channel matrix **H** is given by  $H = G_H D_H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , where the matrix  $G_H$  with size  $N_{\rm u} \times N_{\rm t}$  has a Rayleigh distribution and represents the fast fading coefficients. The diagonal matrix  $D_{\rm H}$  which models the geometric attenuation is given by  $D_{\rm H} = {\rm diag}(\zeta/(d/r_d)^{\nu})$ , where d is the distance that separates the transmitter and receiver. The parameter v is the path loss exponent,  $r_d$  corresponds to the cell radius and  $\zeta$  is a random variable with log-normal distribution and standard deviation  $\sigma_{\rm shadow}$ . The simulations in which the performance of the zero-crossing approach and the FM approach from [14] are compared in terms of BER and MSE were computed with the following parameters: d = 300, v = 3,  $r_d = 1000$ ,  $\sigma_{\text{shadow}} = 8$ ,  $N_{\rm t} = 10$  and  $N_{\rm u} = 2$ . The comparison of both approaches is done with the same bandwidth and with the same input bit sequences, in the case of the FM precoding the problem in (5) is solved with  $c_{\text{out}}$  given according to the FM scheme devised in [14] but with the same MMSE space-time precoding approach in order to not mix different effects. The BER performance of both approaches are shown in Fig. 4 for different values of  $M_{\text{Rx}}$  and  $M_{\text{Tx}}$ . For the same configuration set as in Fig. 4, Fig. 5 illustrates the corresponding results in terms of MSE. Among all the simulated configurations  $M_{\rm Rx} = M_{\rm Tx} = 2$  has the best performance in BER and the lowest MSE. As a reference a conventional QPSK modulated signal is presented in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. In Fig. 6 the proposed MMSE precoding is compared with the precoding presented in [15], which uses the MMDDT design criterion for temporal and ZF for spatial precoding. Moreover both precoding designs are considered with the zero-crossing mapping and the FM mapping from [14]. From Fig. 6 it can be concluded that the MMSE space-time precoding has a better performance, in terms of BER, for low SNR.

### IV-A. Gray Coding for Zero-Crossing Precoding

To improve the system performance a Gray code is implemented such that symbols that have near or consecutive zero-crossings differ only in one bit from another. In the cases when  $R_{\rm in}$  is not a power of 2, symbol sequences are considered to obtain  $c_{\rm out}$ , which means a conversion loss of  $(1.5 - \log_2 3) \approx 0.085$  bits per symbol



Fig. 4: BER versus SNR for different  $M_{\text{Rx}}$  and  $M_{\text{Tx}}$ . Fixed parameters are N = 50,  $N_{\text{t}} = 10$  and  $N_{\text{u}} = 2$ .



Fig. 5: Cost function for the experiment in Fig. 4.

in the case of  $M_{\text{Rx}} = 2$ . Table II show the proposed *Gray* code developed for  $M_{\text{Rx}} = 2$  and 3.

$M_{Rx} = 2$		
Gray Code	$[c_{s,2i}^T, c_{s,2i+1}^T]$	$[c_{s,2i}^T, c_{s,2i+1}^T]$
	$p_{2i-1} = 1$	$p_{2i-1} = -1$
000	1 1 1 1	-1 - 1 - 1 - 1
001	1 1 1 - 1	-1 - 1 - 1 1
011	1 1 - 1 - 1	-1 - 1 1 1
010	1 - 1 - 1 - 1	-1 1 1 1
110	1 - 1 - 1 1	-1 1 1 - 1
111	-1 - 1 - 1 1	1 1 1 - 1
101	-1 - 1 - 1 - 1	1 1 1 1
100	-1 -1 1 1	1 1 - 1 - 1

**Table I**: Gray code for  $M_{Rx} = 2$ .

**Table II**: *Gray code* for  $M_{\text{Rx}} = 3$ .

# V. CONCLUSIONS

The present study proposes a precoding method for receivers with 1-bit quantization and oversampling, suitable for lowcomplexity detection schemes. The proposed precoding algorithm uses a novel technique that takes advantage of oversampling, by conveying the information in the zero-crossing time instances of the received signal. The novel approach is devised together with a joint space-time MMSE precoding design. Simulation results show that the proposed zero-crossing precoder has a better performance in terms of BER than the existing precoder based on the optimized forward mapping strategy. In addition the novel zerocrossing method saves band resource and reduces complexity in



**Fig. 6**: Comparison between different precoding design criteria: proposed space-time MMSE precoding design versus the temporal MMDDT and spatial zero-forcing (ZF) precoding design [14], [15]. Comparison between precoding strategies: Novel Zero-Crossing method versus the dynamic optimization based forward mapping [14]. ( $M_{\text{Rx}} = M_{\text{Tx}} = 2$  with N = 50,  $N_{\text{t}} = 10$  and  $N_{\text{u}} = 2$ )

comparison to the existing method which relies on optimization based codebooks. Simulation results confirm that the proposed space-time MMSE precoding criterion yields a lower BER as compared to the established MMDDT criterion when operating in the low SNR regime.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been supported in part by the ELIOT ANR-18-CE40-0030 and FAPESP 2018/12579-7 project and the CNPq Universal 438043/2018-9 project.

## APPENDIX - DERIVATION OF SPACE TIME MMSE Precoding

The derivation of the space-time MMSE precoder can be done in a similar way as suggested in [16]. The equivalent cost function reads as

$$J = f^{2} \boldsymbol{p}_{x}^{H} \boldsymbol{H}_{\text{eff}}^{H} \boldsymbol{H}_{\text{eff}} \boldsymbol{p}_{x} - 2f \operatorname{Re} \{ \boldsymbol{p}_{x}^{H} \boldsymbol{H}_{\text{eff}}^{H} \boldsymbol{c}_{\text{out}} \}$$
  
+  $f^{2} \operatorname{trace} \{ \boldsymbol{G}_{\text{Rx,eff}} \boldsymbol{C}_{n} \boldsymbol{G}_{\text{Rx,eff}}^{H} \}.$  (11)

With this, the Lagrangian function is given by

$$L(\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{x}}, f, \lambda) = f^{2} \boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{x}}^{H} \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{H} \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{eff}} \boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{x}} - 2f \operatorname{Re}\{\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{x}}^{H} \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{H} \boldsymbol{c}_{\mathrm{out}}\} + f^{2} \operatorname{trace}\{\boldsymbol{G}_{\mathrm{Rx},\mathrm{eff}} \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{G}_{\mathrm{Rx},\mathrm{eff}}^{H}\} + \lambda \left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{x}}^{H} \boldsymbol{A}^{H} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{x}} - \boldsymbol{E}_{0}\right).$$
(12)

Taking the derivative w.r.t.  $p_x^*$  and equating it to zero yields

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{x,opt}} = \frac{1}{f} \left( \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{H} \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{eff}} + \frac{\lambda}{f^{2}} \boldsymbol{A}^{H} \boldsymbol{A} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{H} \boldsymbol{c}_{\mathrm{out}}, \qquad (13)$$

which can also be written as

$$\frac{1}{f}\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{x}}^{H}\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{H}\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathrm{out}} = \boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{x}}^{H}\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{H}\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{eff}} + \frac{\lambda}{f^{2}}\boldsymbol{A}^{H}\boldsymbol{A}\right)\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{x}}.$$
 (14)

The derivative of the Lagrangian function w.r.t. f reads as

$$\frac{dL(\boldsymbol{p}_{x}, f, \lambda)}{df} = 2f\boldsymbol{p}_{x}^{H}\boldsymbol{H}_{\text{eff}}^{H}\boldsymbol{H}_{\text{eff}}\boldsymbol{p}_{x} - 2\text{Re}\{\boldsymbol{p}_{x}^{H}\boldsymbol{H}_{\text{eff}}^{H}\boldsymbol{c}_{\text{out}}\} + 2f\text{trace}\{\boldsymbol{G}_{\text{Rx},\text{eff}}\boldsymbol{C}_{n}\boldsymbol{G}_{\text{Rx},\text{eff}}^{H}\},$$
(15)

where the real part operator can be skipped because its argument is always real valued when taking into account the structure of  $p_{x,opt}$ . Equating the (15) to zero yields

$$\frac{1}{f}\boldsymbol{p}_{x}^{H}\boldsymbol{H}_{\text{eff}}^{H}\boldsymbol{c}_{\text{out}} = \boldsymbol{p}_{x}^{H}\boldsymbol{H}_{\text{eff}}^{H}\boldsymbol{H}_{\text{eff}}\boldsymbol{p}_{x} + \text{trace}\{\boldsymbol{G}_{\text{Rx,eff}}\boldsymbol{C}_{n}\boldsymbol{G}_{\text{Rx,eff}}^{H}\}.$$
(16)

Equating the RHS of (14) with the RHS of (16) gives

$$\frac{\lambda}{f^2} = \frac{\operatorname{trace}\{G_{\mathrm{Rx,eff}}C_n G_{\mathrm{Rx,eff}}^H\}}{p_{\mathrm{x}}^H A^H A p_{\mathrm{x}}}.$$
(17)

Based on the fact that any precoding vector  $p_x$  with less than the maximum transmit energy, cannot be optimal in the MSE sense, we can consider equality for the transmit energy constraint. With this, (17) can be written with the maximum transmit energy as

$$\frac{\lambda}{f^2} = \frac{\text{trace}\{G_{\text{Rx,eff}}C_n G_{\text{Rx,eff}}^H\}}{E_0}.$$
 (18)

Then the optimal precoding vector can be expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{x,opt}} = (19)$$

$$\frac{1}{f} \left( \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{H} \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{eff}} + \frac{\mathrm{trace}\{\boldsymbol{G}_{\mathrm{Rx,eff}}\boldsymbol{C}_{n}\boldsymbol{G}_{\mathrm{Rx,eff}}^{H}\}}{E_{0}} \boldsymbol{A}^{H} \boldsymbol{A} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{H} \boldsymbol{c}_{\mathrm{out}}.$$

Inserting (19) into the transmit energy constraint determines the scaling factor, which is then given by

$$f = \sqrt{\frac{\boldsymbol{c}_{\text{out}}^H \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{c}_{\text{out}}}{E_0}},$$
(20)

with

$$\Gamma = H_{\text{eff}} \left( H_{\text{eff}}^{H} H_{\text{eff}} + \frac{\text{trace} \{ G_{\text{Rx,eff}} C_n G_{\text{Rx,eff}}^{H} \}}{E_0} A^H A \right)^{-1} A^H \times A \left( H_{\text{eff}}^{H} H_{\text{eff}} + \frac{\text{trace} \{ G_{\text{Rx,eff}} C_n G_{\text{Rx,eff}}^{H} \}}{E_0} A^H A \right)^{-1} H_{\text{eff}}^{H}.$$
(21)

### VI. REFERENCES

- [1] J. Chen, L. Zhang, Y. Liang, X. Kang, and R. Zhang, "Resource allocation for wireless-powered IoT networks with short packet communication," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 1447–1461, Feb 2019.
- [2] A. Gupta and R. K. Jha, "A survey of 5G network: Architecture and emerging technologies," *IEEE Access*, vol. 3, pp. 1206–1232, 2015.
- [3] R.H. Walden, "Analog-to-digital converter survey and analysis," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 539 –550, Apr. 1999.
- [4] S. Shamai (Shitz), "Information rates by oversampling the sign of a bandlimited process," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 1230–1236, July 1994.
- 40, no. 4, pp. 1230–1236, July 1994.
  [5] T. Koch and A. Lapidoth, "Increased capacity per unit-cost by oversampling," in *Proc. of the IEEE Convention of Electrical and Electronics Engineers in Israel*, Eilat, Israel, Nov. 2010.
- [6] L. Landau, M. Dörpinghaus, and G. P. Fettweis, "1-bit quantization and oversampling at the receiver: Communication over bandlimited channels with noise," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 1007–1010, 2017.
- [7] L. Landau, S. Krone, and G. P. Fettweis, "Intersymbolinterference design for maximum information rates with 1-bit quantization and oversampling at the receiver," in *Proc. of*

the Int. ITG Conf. on Systems, Communications and Coding, Munich, Germany, Jan. 2013.

- [8] L. T. N. Landau and R. C. de Lamare, "Branch-andbound precoding for multiuser MIMO systems with 1-bit quantization," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 770–773, Dec 2017.
- [9] J. Mo and R. W. Heath Jr, "Capacity analysis of onebit quantized MIMO systems with transmitter channel state information," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 63, no. 20, pp. 5498–5512, Oct 2015.
- [10] H. Jedda, A. Mezghani, A. L. Swindlehurst, and J. A. Nossek, "Quantized constant envelope precoding with PSK and QAM signaling," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. 8022–8034, Dec 2018.
- [11] T. Hälsig, L. Landau, and G. P. Fettweis, "Spectral efficient communications employing 1-bit quantization and oversampling at the receiver," in *Proc. of the IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2014-Fall)*, Vancouver, BC, Canada, Sep 2014.
- [12] H. Son, H. Han, N. Kim, and H. Park, "An efficient detection algorithm for PAM with 1-bit quantization and timeoversampling at the receiver," in *Proc. of the IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2019-Fall)*, Honolulu, HI, USA, USA, Sep 2019.
- [13] A. Gokceoglu, E. Björnson, E. G. Larsson, and M. Valkama, "Waveform design for massive MISO downlink with energyefficient receivers adopting 1-bit ADCs," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun. (ICC)*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, May 2016.
- [14] A. Gokceoglu, E. Björnson, E. G. Larsson, and M. Valkama, "Spatio-temporal waveform design for multiuser massive MIMO downlink with 1-bit receivers," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 347–362, March 2017.
  [15] Diana M. V. Melo, Lukas T. N. Landau, and Rodrigo C.
- [15] Diana M. V. Melo, Lukas T. N. Landau, and Rodrigo C. de Lamare, "Zero-crossing precoding with maximum distance to the decision threshold for channels with 1-bit quantization and oversampling," *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:1910.10031, 2019.
- [16] M. Joham, W. Utschick, and J. A. Nossek, "Linear transmit processing in MIMO communications systems," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 53, no. 8, pp. 2700–2712, Aug 2005.